

# Proton Pump Inhibitors Are Not Associated With Acute Kidney Injury in Critical Illness

The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology  
2016, 56(12) 1500–1506  
© 2016, The American College of  
Clinical Pharmacology  
DOI: 10.1002/jcph.805

Joon Lee, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Roger G. Mark, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Leo Anthony Celi, MD, MPH<sup>1,3</sup>,  
and John Danziger, MD, MPhil<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

Recent epidemiologic data linking proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use to acute and chronic kidney dysfunction is yet to be validated in other populations, and mechanisms have not been explored. Using a large, well phenotyped inception cohort of 15 063 critically ill patients, we examined the risk of acute kidney injury (AKI), as defined by the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes criteria guidelines, according to prior use of a PPI, histamine-2 receptor antagonist (H<sub>2</sub>RA), or neither. A total of 3725 (24.7%) patients reported PPI use prior to admission, while 905 (6.0%) patients reported H<sub>2</sub>RA use. AKI occurred in 747 (20.0%) and 163 (18.0%) of PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users respectively, compared to 1712 (16.2%) of those not taking acid suppressive medications. In unadjusted analysis, PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users had a 28% (95%CI 1.17–1.41,  $P < .001$ ) and 10% (95%CI 0.91–1.30,  $P = .31$ ) higher risk of AKI compared to those taking neither class of medication. However, in sequential models that included adjustment for demographics, cardiovascular comorbidities, indications for PPI use, and severity of illness, the effect of PPI on the risk of AKI was attenuated, and in the adjusted analysis, PPI was not associated with AKI (OR 1.02; 95%CI 0.91–1.13,  $P = .73$ ). The presence of sterile pyuria and hypomagnesemia did not modify the association between PPI use and AKI. In summary, after adjustment for demographics, illness severity, and the indication for PPI use, PPI use prior to admission is not associated with critical illness AKI.

## Keywords

proton pump inhibitor, histamine receptor antagonist blocker, acute kidney injury

Recent epidemiologic data suggest that proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use may be associated with an increased risk of acute and chronic kidney disease, adding to a list of accumulating potential risks, including hypomagnesemia,<sup>1</sup> infection,<sup>2</sup> cardiovascular disease,<sup>3,4</sup> and mortality.<sup>5</sup> Using the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study, a prospective observational study of representative communities, Lazarus et al have linked self-reported PPI use to the development of chronic kidney disease (CKD), and then replicated the findings using a large hospital electronic medical record.<sup>6</sup> PPI use has also been associated with an increased risk of acute kidney injury (AKI).<sup>7,8</sup>

Mechanisms for this observed association have not previously been explored, but it might occur through episodes of acute interstitial nephritis (AIN), a known PPI-associated adverse effect.<sup>9–12</sup> Although there are no standard diagnostic criteria for AIN, signs of noninfectious inflammation, such as sterile pyuria and white cell casts, along with eosinophiluria, are frequently used as clinical indicators. In addition, data have suggested that PPI exposure might lead to endothelial dysfunction and vasoconstriction, presumably through impaired lysosomal function<sup>13</sup> and decreased nitrogen oxide levels,<sup>14</sup> respectively, and might explain the observed association between PPI use and myocardial infarction<sup>15</sup> and dementia.<sup>16</sup> These findings might similarly link PPI use

to AKI.<sup>17</sup> And finally, hypomagnesemia, a potential consequence of chronic PPI use,<sup>1,18</sup> has been associated with an increased risk of chronic renal disease progression.<sup>19,20</sup>

Hypothesizing that PPI use might increase susceptibility to AKI, particularly in patients exposed to the physiologic stressors of critical illness, we examined whether PPI use prior to hospitalization was associated with an increased risk of AKI within the first 7 days of critical illness. In addition, to explore potential mechanisms linking PPI use and AKI, we examined whether the presence of sterile pyuria, a clinical indicator of interstitial nephritis, and hypomagnesemia modified the association of PPI use and AKI.

<sup>1</sup>Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Public Health and Health Systems, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

Submitted for publication 30 June 2016; accepted 3 August 2016.

## Corresponding Author:

John Danziger, MD, MPhil, 172 Pilgrim Road, Libby 2, Boston, MA 02215  
Email: jdanzige@bidmc.harvard.edu

## Methods

### Study Population

We used the MIMIC-II (Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care) research database, a joint venture managed by researchers from the Laboratory for Computational Physiology at The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and the Department of Medicine at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC). BIDMC is a large, urban academic medical center. The database contains lab results, electronic documentation, and bedside monitor trends and waveforms for all patients admitted to a BIDMC intensive care unit (ICU) between 2001 and 2008. Use of the MIMIC-II database has been approved by the Institutional Review Boards of BIDMC and MIT. MIMIC-II contains data from 24,581 adult patients who were admitted to surgical or medical ICUs at BIDMC. Of the 16,192 with documented admission medication lists, and after exclusion of patients with end-stage renal disease and those missing documentation of renal function, a cohort analysis of 15 063 unique first hospitalizations remained.

### Primary Medication Exposure

PPI or histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist (H<sub>2</sub>RA) exposure was defined as any PPI or H<sub>2</sub>RA listed as a preadmission medication. We developed a natural language processing (NLP) algorithm that searched discharge summaries for a discrete home medication section within the history and physical examination performed on admission, as previously described.<sup>1</sup>

### Primary Outcome

The primary outcome was AKI during the first 7 days of ICU care, as defined by either a  $\geq 0.3$  mg/dL creatinine increase within 48 hours of admission or a  $\geq 50\%$  increase within 7 days of admission, or acute dialysis, in keeping with the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines. Admission creatinine was used to determine "baseline" renal function in keeping with best practice guidelines.<sup>21</sup> In addition, we explored the association of PPI use with AKI as determined by a urine output of  $< 0.5$  mL/(kg·h) for more than 6 hours during the first 7 days of critical illness, in keeping with an alternative KDIGO definition.

### Covariates

Demographic information included age, sex, and ethnicity, coded as white, African-American, Asian, Hispanic, other, or unknown, and ICU type (medical, surgical, or cardiac). We used oral diabetes medication or insulin usage as identified in admission medication lists, along with Elixhauser discharge coding, to identify diabetic patients.<sup>22</sup> We identified heart failure patients through NLP searching of the past medical history

section of the admission examination or Elixhauser discharge coding. A history of cardiac arrhythmia, pulmonary circulation disorder, hypertension, liver disease, peptic ulcer disease, alcohol abuse, weight loss, obesity, and metastatic cancer were included according to Elixhauser discharge coding. Admission ICU vitals included systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate. Age, sex, and race were used to impute missing vitals in 733 patients. Laboratory values obtained within 24 hours of ICU admission included white blood cell (WBC) and platelet counts and glucose and hemoglobin concentrations. We used a NLP algorithm of admission medication lists to document angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE-I), diuretic, and statin usage.

### Statistical Analysis

We present baseline characteristics according to use of PPI, H<sub>2</sub>RA, or neither, with *P* values calculated for group differences between PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users. We used logistic regression to describe the association of PPI use with AKI. To explore the potential effect of residual confounding, we performed sequential regressions with incremental adjustments, with variables chosen based on clinical judgment. We created indicator variables for PPI use and H<sub>2</sub>RA use. Model 1 included age, sex, and race. Model 2 included model 1 plus admission intensive care unit type, history of diabetes, congestive heart failure, cardiac arrhythmia, hypertension, or pulmonary circulation disorder. Model 3 included model 2 plus a history of liver disease, peptic ulcer disease, alcohol abuse, weight loss, obesity, and metastatic cancer. Model 4 included model 3 plus admission systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, glucose, white blood cell count, hemoglobin, and platelet count. Model 5 included model 4 plus use of diuretics, ACE-I, ARB, and statins. All models included PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA, and the reference category was therefore patients not taking acid suppression medications. In additional analyses, we included admission serum creatinine in model 5 and used backward stepwise regression to identify the significant (*P* values  $< .001$ ) predictors of AKI.

In the absence of standard clinical criteria to identify AIN we a priori considered the presence of urinary white cells, without either genitourinary contamination or infection, as indicative of potential renal tubulointerstitial inflammation. A total of 6174 patients had a urinalysis completed within 12 hours of ICU admission. We excluded patients with a positive urine culture (*n* = 688), greater than 2 epithelial cells per high-powered field (hpf) (*n* = 551), bacteruria (few [*n* = 803], rare [*n* = 480], occasional [*n* = 621], moderate [*n* = 290], many [*n* = 223], and loaded [*n* = 1]) or with positive nitrate on urine dipstick

**Table 1.** Baseline Characteristics According to Acid Suppression Use

	Proton-Pump Inhibitors (n = 3725)	H <sub>2</sub> -Receptor Antagonists (n = 905)	No Acid-Suppressive Medications (n = 10 528)	P Value <sup>a</sup>
Age, mean (SD), y	67.9 (15.1)	67.4 (15.3)	61.8 (19.7)	.75
Male, n (%)	2017 (54.1)	497 (55.1)	6143 (58.0)	.23
Ethnicity, n (%)				
White	2750 (73.4)	659 (72.8)	7455 (70.8)	.68
Black or African American	285 (7.6)	69 (7.6)	747 (7.1)	
Hispanic or Latino	101 (2.7)	24 (2.8)	343 (3.2)	
Asian	64 (1.7)	17 (1.8)	258 (2.5)	
Other	68 (1.8)	15 (1.7)	287 (2.7)	
Unknown	457 (12.2)	121 (13.4)	1438 (13.7)	
Past medical history, n (%)				
Hypertension	1396 (37.5)	320 (35.3)	3593 (34.1)	.16
Diabetes	1293 (34.7)	308 (34.0)	2576 (24.5)	.86
Congestive heart failure	1103 (29.6)	253 (28.0)	2069 (19.7)	.46
Cardiac arrhythmia	882 (23.7)	202 (22.3)	1893 (18.0)	.48
Liver disease	307 (8.2)	47 (5.2)	417 (4.0)	.003
Obesity	82 (2.2)	14 (1.5)	201 (1.9)	.05
Metastatic cancer	196 (5.2)	47 (5.2)	465 (4.4)	.91
Alcohol abuse	180 (4.8)	30 (3.3)	652 (6.2)	.04
Weight loss	117 (3.1)	18 (2.0)	178 (1.7)	.09
Peptic ulcer disease	40 (0.1)	8 (0.1)	54 (0.1)	.37
Vital signs, mean (SD)				
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	125.1 (25.3)	125.2 (25.9)	125.8 (24.2)	.72
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	62.4 (15.6)	62.5 (15.6)	64.3 (15.5)	.92
Heart rate, beats/min	88.0 (19.6)	86.7 (18.9)	87.7 (19.3)	.08
Laboratory values on admission, mean (SD)				
Glucose, mg/dL	149.4 (84.3)	150.2 (88.1)	150.5 (91.9)	.89
Hemoglobin, g/dL	11.4 (2.2)	11.8 (2.1)	12.2 (2.2)	<.001
White blood cell count, K/ $\mu$ L	11.9 (7.4)	12.2 (8.0)	12.3 (9.5)	.19
Platelets, K/ $\mu$ L	236.7 (125.7)	240.2 (113.6)	235.2 (111.1)	.69
Albumin, g/dL <sup>b</sup>	3.3 (0.7)	3.4 (0.6)	3.5 (0.7)	.27
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.5 (1.4)	1.3 (1.1)	1.2 (1.2)	.002
Preillness medication usage, n (%)				
Angiotensin-conv. enzyme inhibitor	1044 (29.8)	253 (29.8)	2382 (22.6)	.97
Angiotensin receptor blocker	309 (8.8)	76 (8.9)	647 (6.1)	.99
Statin	1403 (40.0)	328 (38.7)	3055 (29.0)	.37
Diuretic	1390 (39.6)	282 (33.3)	2456 (23.3)	<.001

There were 95 individuals who were taking both a PPI and a H<sub>2</sub>RA.

<sup>a</sup>P value represents group difference between PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users (excluding the 95 using both).

<sup>b</sup>Admission serum albumin concentration available in 5828 patients.

(n = 21), leaving 2657 patients with documented urine dipstick analyses and 1366 patients with documented urinary microscopy. Among these, sterile pyuria was defined as the presence of leukocyte esterase on urine dipstick or more than 5 WBCs/hpf on urine microscopy, in keeping with our hospital laboratory's definition. We created indicator variables for those PPI users with sterile pyuria and PPI users without sterile pyuria, and these were regressed into model 5 above.

To examine whether hypomagnesemia modified the association between PPI use and risk of AKI, we examined a multiplicative interaction term between PPI

and a serum magnesium concentration of <1.6 mg/dL, in keeping with our hospital laboratory definition of hypomagnesemia, in 10,868 patients with magnesium concentrations measured within 24 hours of ICU admission.

Finally, in order to explore whether PPI use was indicating general illness severity (model specificity), we examined the association of premorbid PPI use with the risk of sepsis, as determined by Martin criteria,<sup>23</sup> in adjusted analysis (model 5), an outcome seemingly unlikely to be physiologically linked to PPI use. All analyses were performed using JMP Pro 12 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

## Results

### Baseline Characteristics

Of 15 063 critically ill patients, 3725 (24.7%) and 905 (6.0%) were using a PPI or H<sub>2</sub>RA, respectively, prior to admission. PPI users tended to be older and generally sicker than those not taking acid suppression, with a higher prevalence of most comorbidities, including diabetes, heart disease, liver failure, and more frequent use of diuretics, ACE-Is, and ARBs (Table 1). Compared to H<sub>2</sub>RA users, those taking PPIs had a higher prevalence of liver disease, alcohol abuse, and diuretic use, a lower admission hemoglobin, and a higher admission serum creatinine.

### PPI Use and AKI Risk

AKI occurred in 747 (20%) and 163 (18%) PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users, respectively, compared to 1712 (16%) of those patients not taking an acid suppression medication. Although the unadjusted risk of AKI with PPI use was significantly higher than that without acid suppression medication usage (Table 2), adjustment for demographics (model 1) and traditional cardiovascular comorbidities (model 2) attenuated the association, but it remained significant. However, the inclusion of standard clinical indications for PPI usage further attenuated the association (model 3). In the final adjusted model (model 5), PPI use was not significantly associated with a risk of AKI.

In model 5 the significant predictors of AKI ( $P$  value  $< .05$ ) were age, sex, ICU type, history of diabetes, heart failure, hypertension, obesity, weight loss, liver disease, systolic blood pressure, and heart rate; all 4 laboratory parameters; diuretic, statin, and ARB use. Inclusion of admission serum creatinine in model 5 had little effect on the association of PPI and AKI (OR 1.02; 95%CI 0.91-1.28;  $P = .77$ ). In a model that used backward stepwise regression to identify the significant predictors of AKI, PPI use was similarly not associated with AKI (OR 1.04; 95%CI 0.94-1.16,  $P = .41$ ).

In 11 312 patients with documented urinary output, PPI use was similarly not associated with an adjusted risk of AKI ( $P = .41$ ), as defined by urinary output.

### PPI Use, Sterile Pyuria, and AKI

There were 634 (24%) and 148 (6%) PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users, respectively, among those with documented urine dipstick analyses within 12 hours of ICU admission, and 323 (24%) and 89 (7%) PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA users, respectively, in those with documented urinary microanalyses. Compared to patients taking no acid suppression, PPI users with sterile pyuria, defined by either leukocyte esterase positivity or  $>5$  WBCs/hpf, did not have a significantly higher risk of AKI in adjusted analysis (Table 3).

**Table 2.** Association of Proton Pump Inhibitor Use With Subsequent Risk of Acute Kidney Injury

Model	Odds Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval, and $P$ Value		
	No Acid-Suppressive Medications	Proton Pump Inhibitors	Histamine Receptor Antagonists
Unadjusted	Ref	1.28 1.17-1.41 $P < .001$	1.10 0.91-1.30 $P = .31$
Adjusted			
Model 1. Demographics	Ref	1.20 1.08-1.31 $P < .001$	1.03 0.85-1.22 $P = .78$
Model 2. Model 1 + cardiovascular comorbidities	Ref	1.14 1.03-1.25 $P = .01$	0.98 0.82-1.17 $P = .86$
Model 3. Model 2 + possible clinical indications for PPI use	Ref	1.10 1.00-1.21 $P = .05$	0.98 0.81-1.17 $P = .80$
Model 4. Model 3 + severity of illness	Ref	1.04 0.94-1.15 $P = .46$	0.94 0.78-1.14 $P = .57$
Model 5. Model 4 + outpatient medication use	Ref	1.02 0.91-1.13 $P = .73$	0.94 0.78-1.15 $P = .59$

Model 1. Adjusted for age, sex, race ( $n = 15\ 044$ ).

Model 2. Adjusted for model 1 plus admission intensive care unit type, history of diabetes, congestive heart failure, cardiac arrhythmia, hypertension, or pulmonary circulation ( $n = 15\ 024$ ).

Model 3. Adjusted for model 2 plus history of liver disease, peptic ulcer disease, alcohol abuse, weight loss, obesity, and metastatic cancer ( $n = 15\ 024$ ).

Model 4. Adjusted for model 3 plus admission systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, glucose, white blood cell count, hemoglobin, and platelet count ( $n = 13\ 305$ ).

Model 5. Adjusted for model 4 plus use of diuretics, ACE inhibitor, angiotensin receptor blocker, and statins ( $n = 13\ 209$ ).

PPI users with leukocyte positivity and  $>5$  WBCs/hpf did not have a significantly higher adjusted risk of AKI compared to PPI users without sterile pyuria (OR 1.02; 95%CI 0.44-2.16,  $P = .96$  and OR 1.38; 0.52-3.42,  $P = .49$ , respectively).

### PPI Use and AKI Risk in Hypomagnesemia

There were 1704 (16%) patients with hypomagnesemia on ICU admission. A multiplicative interaction term between PPI use and hypomagnesemia was not significant ( $P = .75$ ). Among those with hypomagnesemia, PPI use was not associated with a significantly increased risk of AKI (OR 1.04, 95%CI 0.76-1.41,  $P = .80$ ).

### Model Control

There were 1539 (10%) admissions for sepsis. Despite adjustment for demographics, multiple comorbidities, and illness severity (model 5), pre-morbid PPI use remained significantly associated with the risk of sepsis

**Table 3.** Proton Pump Inhibitor Users With and Without Sterile Pyuria and Risk of AKI

		Odds Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval, P Value		
	No Acid Suppressive Medications	Proton Pump Inhibitor Use and Sterile Pyuria	Proton Pump Inhibitor Use Without Sterile Pyuria	Histamine Receptor Antagonist
Pyuria defined by leukocyte esterase positivity				
Unadjusted	Ref	1.80 0.90-3.31   <i>P</i> = .09	1.52 1.17-1.97 <i>P</i> < .001	1.47 0.92-2.27 <i>P</i> = .10
Adjusted <sup>a</sup>	Ref	1.16 0.54-2.27 <i>P</i> = .69	1.10 0.82-1.47 <i>P</i> = .51	1.21 0.73-1.92 <i>P</i> = .44
Pyuria defined by >5 WBCs/hpf				
Unadjusted	Ref	2.66 1.20-5.47 <i>P</i> = .02	1.52 1.06-2.16 <i>P</i> = .02	1.72 1.20-5.47 <i>P</i> = .06
Adjusted <sup>b</sup>	Ref	1.64 0.70-3.62 <i>P</i> = .24	1.05 0.69-1.57 <i>P</i> = .80	1.58 0.85-2.83 <i>P</i> = .14

Both analyses adjusted per model 5.

<sup>a</sup>N = 2360 in adjusted analysis.

<sup>b</sup>N = 1173 in adjusted analysis.

(OR 1.22, 95%CI 1.07-1.39, *P* = .003), suggesting that our model continued to lack specificity.

## Discussion

Our data suggest that PPI use is not associated with an increased risk of AKI in critically ill patients and highlight the potential challenge of residual confounding in observational studies.

Our negative findings might potentially be explained by study differences. Whereas the Lazarus study was conducted longitudinally in a community and an outpatient setting, our cross-sectional analysis was restricted to the critically ill. Given the increased incidence of AKI in critical illness, with almost 17% of ICU admissions complicated by AKI within the first 7 days, it is plausible that any potential effect of PPI use on the risk for kidney injury is dwarfed by the complex additional influences that might lead to renal injury in critical illness.

However, our analysis also raises important questions about the limitations of observational data in determining causality and highlights potential sources of bias and residual confounding. Most previous studies, including our own, could not accurately identify the reason for PPI prescription. We used discharge coding to identify the comorbidities that might warrant PPI use, likely leading to significant misclassification. However, despite this limitation, inclusion of these comorbidities into our modeling (model 4) attenuated the association of PPI use with AKI. For example,

8% of PPI users had liver disease by discharge coding, which was associated with a 33% increased risk of AKI. Liver disease is an independent risk for kidney failure, yet its consequences, such as variceal bleeding, are an indication for PPI use,<sup>24</sup> and significant confounding by indication likely remains.

One approach to this has been to use H<sub>2</sub>RA users as a model control. However, the decision of a physician to prescribe a PPI, which is generally more expensive, has a greater overall adverse risk profile, and typically is used in the setting of H<sub>2</sub>RA failure, does not equate to the decision to prescribe a H<sub>2</sub>RA. In our analysis, PPI users were clearly “sicker” than both H<sub>2</sub>RA users and those using neither class of medicines, with a higher prevalence of comorbidities such as liver disease, lower admission serum hemoglobin concentrations, and more frequent use of medications that are associated with renal injury, such as diuretics. Although many of these factors can be entered into “adjusted analyses,” accurately adjusting for the powerful and complex biologic effects of these conditions on an outcome is likely a statistical simplification that does not reflect the physiologic reality. Furthermore, despite our best efforts of “adjustment,” including a wide range of patient characteristics, PPI use remained significantly associated with an admission for sepsis, an outcome that lacks obvious physiologic explanation, suggesting that PPI use might simply mark disease severity.

Since the proposed mechanism linking PPI use and adverse renal outcomes could be through interstitial nephritis, we examined the effect of sterile pyuria on

the association of PPI and AKI. But we found that PPI users with sterile pyuria did not have a higher risk of AKI than those not taking acid suppression medicines or than PPI users without sterile pyuria. In addition, we could not detect a significant association between PPI use and AKI in those with hypomagnesemia.

The strength of our analysis lies in the granularity of the MIMIC dataset, which provides access to discharge summaries, medications, laboratory parameters, and comorbidities and thereby allows accurate determination of both the primary outcome and exposure. The use of sequential models illustrates the effect of residual confounding in our observational analysis. There are several limitations of our analysis. Restricted to critically ill patients, our negative results cannot be extrapolated to a broader context without further study. In addition, we could not quantify the amount of PPI exposure or account for over-the-counter PPI use, and thus, misclassification is possible. Furthermore, although we hypothesized that sterile pyuria is indicative of an interstitial nephritis, direct examination of a spun urine sediment for white cell casts is likely a superior diagnostic method but was not available.

## Conclusion

In summary, in an adjusted analysis that includes some indication for PPI prescription, PPI use is not associated with AKI in critically ill patients. Despite recent suggestions otherwise, the association between PPI and renal outcomes remains hypothesis generating and requires more rigorous analysis that limits residual confounding.

## Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## Funding

Dr. Celi's work in the Laboratory for Computational Physiology at MIT is funded by the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering under NIBIB Grant 2R01 EB001659.

## References

- Danziger J, William JH, Scott DJ, et al. Proton-pump inhibitor use is associated with low serum magnesium concentrations. *Kidney Int.* 2013;83(4):692-699.
- Yu T, Tang Y, Jiang L, Zheng Y, Xiong W, Lin L. Proton pump inhibitor therapy and its association with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis incidence and mortality: a meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2016;48(4):353-359.
- Rassen JA, Choudhry NK, Avorn J, Schneeweiss S. Cardiovascular outcomes and mortality in patients using clopidogrel with proton pump inhibitors after percutaneous coronary intervention or acute coronary syndrome. *Circulation.* 2009;120(23):2322-2329.
- Mahabaleshwarar RK, Yang Y, Datar MV, et al. Risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality associated with concomitant use of clopidogrel and proton pump inhibitors in elderly patients. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2013;29(4):315-323.
- Maggio M, Corsonello A, Ceda GP, et al. Proton pump inhibitors and risk of 1-year mortality and rehospitalization in older patients discharged from acute care hospitals. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(7):518-523.
- Lazarus B, Chen Y, Wilson FP, et al. Proton pump inhibitor use and the risk of chronic kidney disease. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(2):238-246.
- Antoniou T, Macdonald EM, Hollands S, et al. Proton pump inhibitors and the risk of acute kidney injury in older patients: a population-based cohort study. *CMAJ Open.* 2015;3(2):E166-E171.
- Klepser DG, Collier DS, Cochran GL. Proton pump inhibitors and acute kidney injury: a nested case-control study. *BMC Nephrol.* 2013;14:150.
- Ruffenach SJ, Siskind MS, Lien YH. Acute interstitial nephritis due to omeprazole. *Am J Med.* 1992;93(4):472-473.
- Myers RP, McLaughlin K, Hollomby DJ. Acute interstitial nephritis due to omeprazole. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2001;96(12):3428-3431.
- Blank ML, Parkin L, Paul C, Herbison P. A nationwide nested case-control study indicates an increased risk of acute interstitial nephritis with proton pump inhibitor use. *Kidney Int.* 2014;86(4):837-844.
- Torpey N, Barker T, Ross C. Drug-induced tubulo-interstitial nephritis secondary to proton pump inhibitors: experience from a single UK renal unit. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2004;19(6):1441-1446.
- Yepuri G, Sukhovshin R, Nazari-Shafti TZ, Petrascheck M, Ghebre YT, Cooke JP. Proton pump inhibitors accelerate endothelial senescence. *Circ Res.* 2016;118(12):e36-e42.
- Ghebremariam YT, LePendu P, Lee JC, et al. Unexpected effect of proton pump inhibitors: elevation of the cardiovascular risk factor asymmetric dimethylarginine. *Circulation.* 2013;128(8):845-853.
- Shah NH, LePendu P, Bauer-Mehren A, et al. Proton pump inhibitor usage and the risk of myocardial infarction in the general population. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(6):e0124653.
- Gomm W, von Holt K, Thome F, et al. Association of proton pump inhibitors with risk of dementia: a pharmacoepidemiological claims data analysis. *JAMA Neurol.* 2016;73(4):410-416.
- Ruschitzka F, Shaw S, Gygi D, Noll G, Barton M, Luscher TF. Endothelial dysfunction in acute renal failure: role of circulating and tissue endothelin-1. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1999;10(5):953-962.
- William JH, Nelson R, Hayman N, Mukamal KJ, Danziger J. Proton-pump inhibitor use is associated with lower urinary magnesium excretion. *Nephrology (Carlton).* 2014;19(12):798-801.
- Van Laecke S, Nagler EV, Verbeke F, Van Biesen W, Vanholder R. Hypomagnesemia and the risk of death and GFR decline in chronic kidney disease. *Am J Med.* 2013;126(9):825-831.
- Sakaguchi Y, Iwatani H, Hamano T, et al. Magnesium modifies the association between serum phosphate and the risk of progression to end-stage kidney disease in patients with non-diabetic chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2015;88(4):833-842.
- Ad-hoc working group of ERBP, Fliser D, Laville M, Covic A, et al. A European renal best practice (ERBP) position statement on the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO)

- clinical practice guidelines on acute kidney injury: part 1: definitions, conservative management and contrast-induced nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012;27(12):4263-4272.
22. Chen KP, Cavender S, Lee J, et al. Peripheral edema, central venous pressure, and risk of AKI in critical illness. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11(4):602-608.
  23. Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S, Moss M. The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(16):1546-1554.
  24. Dultz G, Piiper A, Zeuzem S, Kronenberger B, Waidmann O. Proton pump inhibitor treatment is associated with the severity of liver disease and increased mortality in patients with cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;41(5):459-466.